

CRVI Charitable Grant Scoring Tool

Applicant Name _____

No Evidence	Low	Medium	High
-------------	-----	--------	------

Alignment and Target Population (15 pts)		Estimated size and range of population directly served by project is meaningful and aligns with CRVI mission statement.	0	3	6	10
		Organization has expertise/experience working with target population	0	1	3	5

Program Design and Effectiveness (60 pts)		Program provides significant benefit to target population.	0	3	6	10
		Provides a description of how the strategy/ies of the program are expected to have positive results.	0	1	3	5
		Clear connection between program objectives, the expected outcomes or benefits, and level of funding requested.	0	3	6	10
		CRVI grant will be leveraged to achieve program results beyond the expectations from the CRVI funding alone.	0	1	3	5
		Evidence that the benefits of the program are measureable.	0	1	3	5
		Provides evidence that demonstrates the program is likely to achieve the identified outcomes.	0	1	3	5
		Evidence that performance/outcome data is used to adapt program practices, measures/objectives and use of resources.	0	1	3	5
		Implementation plan is clear and realistic.	0	1	3	5
		Staff skills and expertise are identified to ensure a successful program; accreditation or other designation of skills or experiences are indicated.	0	1	3	5
		Demonstrates collaboration with others, ideally community/system wide as well as service level collaboration.	0	1	3	5

Organizational Capacity (25 pts)		Demonstrates that financial controls are adequate to ensure funding is spent as expected.	0	3	6	10
		Diverse funding and sustainability plan in place	0	1	3	5
		Allocation of program costs are feasible and clear	0	1	3	5
		Numbers served, cost per unit of service calculations and methodology demonstrate a clear relationship to the program budget	0	1	3	5

Alignment and Target Population Sub Total _____

Program Design and Effectiveness Sub Total _____

Organizational Capacity Sub Total _____

Proposal Total Score (100 pt. Maximum) _____

Scorecard Scoring

High	Component is stated, description is excellent, reviewer has no additional questions
Medium	Component is stated, but description is unclear, additional questions
Low	Component is stated, but description is unconvincing
No Evidence	Component is not included